



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,017	05/10/2001	Asaf Tamir	452/65048	5152
7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
RICHARD F. JAWORSKI			MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/853,017	TAMIR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan McFadden	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	April 2005					
, <u> </u>	<i>'</i> —					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	i Ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-23 and 35-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-23 and 35-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	·				
,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
Copies of the certified copies of the present	riority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/853,017

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22- have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 22 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vardanyan et al (6,079,621).

In regard to claim 22, Vardanyan et al. show in Figure 2, a credit-card sized apparatus capable of receiving and processing audio signals, comprising: a) a power source (power strip, item 4, col. 3, ln 10-14), b) an input device capable of receiving human voice inputs (microphone, item 3, col. 3, ln 22-25); and c) a data processing device capable of processing said human voice inputs (processor, item 2, col. 3, ln 6-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/853,017 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

In regard to claim 36, Vardanyan et al. show the system discussed above in which the data processing device is adapted to activate an application if a match is found in the comparison ("controlling access to ATMs or other security data", col. 4).

In regard to claims 35 and 37, Vardanyan et al. show in Figure 2, a credit-card sized apparatus capable of receiving and processing audio signals that can compare voice patterns in a database (col. 3, ln 47-62) and comprises a data provision unit and wherein the data processing device is adapted to activate the data provision unit, if a match is found in the comparison (activate the magnetic strip, Abstract).

In regard to claim 38, Vardanyan et al. show the system discussed above wherein the data provision unit comprises a magnetic strip (Fig. 4, item 5, col. 4, ln 6).

In regard to claim 39, Vardanyan et al. show the system discussed above, wherein the data provision unit comprises a smart chip (EEPROM, Fig. 3, col. 3).

In regard to claim 40, Vardanyan et al. show the data processing device is adapted to compare human voice inputs to the voice patterns of the database, with regard to the general voice characteristics (col. 3, ln 47-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2655

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vardanyan et al. in view of W0 94/17498 (Laboton et al.), cited by Applicant.

In regard to claim 23, Vardanyan et al. show in Figure 2, a credit-card sized apparatus capable of receiving and processing audio signals discussed above. They do not specifically show it contain a device that can output human-audible sounds. Labaton et al. show a credit card system for storing and retrieving transaction information. This system contains an audio transducer which can be used to output human audible sounds (Abstract, item 8). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this feature because it provides the system with more flexibility.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Vardanyan et al. in view of Rabin (6,081,782).

In regard to claim 41, Vardanyan et al. show the system discussed above. They do not specifically show that the data processing device is adapted to compare human voice inputs to the voice patterns of the database, with regard to their word content. Rabin shows a system which includes features for recognizing voice patterns and word content (col. 7). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this feature because it provides the system with added security by not providing access to unauthorized users who don't know the correct commands (col. 2, In 10-14).

Application/Control Number: 09/853,017 Page 5

Art Unit: 2655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2655

April 26, 2005